

Published on Web 01/28/2003

Isotope Effects on the Picosecond Time-Resolved Emission Spectroscopy of Tris(2,2'-bipyridine)ruthenium (II)

Wesley R. Browne,[†] Colin G. Coates,[‡] Clare Brady,[‡] Pavel Matousek,[§] Michael Towrie,[§] Stanley W. Botchway, Anthony W. Parker, Johannes G. Vos,[†] and John J. McGarvey^{*,‡}

National Centre for Sensor Research, School of Chemical Sciences, Dublin City University, Dublin 9, Ireland, School of Chemistry, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast BT9 5AG, Northern Ireland, and Lasers for Science Facility, CLRC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, Didcot, Oxfordshire OX11 0QX, U.K.

Received October 14, 2002; E-mail: j.mcgarvey@qub.ac.co.uk

Because of their favorable photophysical properties, ruthenium-(II) polypyridine complexes are playing a key role in the development of multicomponent (supramolecular) systems capable of performing useful photo- or redox-triggered functions such as charge¹ and information storage.² These compounds emit from a long-lived triplet metal-to-ligand charge-transfer state (3MLCT) that is populated from higher-energy excited states. The photophysical behavior of the emitting triplet state is well understood,^{1–6} but much less is known about the events that lead to its formation. The observation that, in nanocrystalline TiO2 solar cells modified with monolayers of a ruthenium complex, the electron injection from the excited dye into the TiO₂ is subpicosecond has led to a sharp increase in the interest in the parameters that control the formation of the triplet state. Issues of interest are the lifetime of the lowest singlet state/s and the efficiency of the intersystem crossing (ISC) process that leads to population of the triplet state.

In the present report, picosecond time-resolved luminescence (pstrl) spectroscopy⁷ has been employed for the first time to study the early processes, which occur after excitation of [Ru([H₈]-bpy)₃]²⁺ (1a) and $[Ru([H_{16}]-ph_2phen)]^{2+}$ (2a) and their deuterated analogues $[Ru([D_8]-bpy)_3]^{2+}$ (1b) and $[Ru([D_{14}]-ph_2phen)]^{2+}$ (2b) (where bpy = 2,2'-bipyridine, ph₂phen = 4,7-diphenyl-1,10-phenanthroline). Recently, studies into the short time scale behavior of 1a have been carried out by McCusker and co-workers8 and by Bhasikuttan et al.9 using transient absorption and fluorescence upconversion spectroscopy, respectively.

For both 1a and 2a, an emission signal with maximum near 520 nm decays within the instrument time of the excitation pulse (3 ps) to the long-lived ³MLCT emission (λ_{max} 610 nm) (Figure 1a).¹⁰ In sharp contrast, for the perdeuterated complex 1b the emission at 520 nm persists for times beyond 200 ps (Figure 1b and S1). A comparison of Figure 1a and Figure 1b shows clearly that deuteriation results in a dramatic increase in the lifetime of the 520-nm emission. Although the number of data points available precludes the accurate fitting of the decays, which are clearly nonexponential, estimates of emission lifetimes can still be made. For 1a the emission signal decays in less than 3 ps, whereas for 1b, a fast process with a time constant of some 15 ps is followed by a slower process ($\tau > 200$ ps). Similar results were obtained for their respective hexafluorophosphate salts in acetonitrile. For 2a a similar emission was observed with a lifetime of less than 3 ps, and as for 1b, the lifetime of the longer component observed for the 520-nm emission of 2b persisted beyond 200 ps. Extensive studies of free ligand and the corresponding Fe(II) and Os(II)



Figure 1. Time-resolved emission spectra of (a) 1a in H₂O at 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 15, and 20 ps. (b) **1b** in H₂O at 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 15, 20, 30, 50, 100, 500, 1500, and 3000 ps. $\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 400$ nm. Raman band of water at ~475 nm indicates the temporal response function of the detection system (~4 ps).

complexes, both perprotio and perdeuterio, eliminate the possibility that the observations are the result of impurities or experimental artifacts.

On the basis of earlier studies, the increase in the lifetime of the emission signal upon deuteriation is found to be quite unexpected. Recently, Bhasikuttan et al.9 reported a very weak emission from 1a at 520 nm using fluorescence upconversion spectroscopy. Their results were interpreted in terms of fast ISC from ¹MLCT states to a vibrationally hot, emissive ³MLCT state, followed by vibrational cooling of the latter on a time scale of 600 fs to 1 ps. An increase in emission lifetime from less than 3 ps to over 200 ps upon deuteriation does not seem to support this hypothesis.

The effect of deuteriation on photophysical processes has been considered by Siebrand and others.¹¹⁻¹⁴ Deuteriation reduces the energy of the C-H vibrational modes (as well as ring breathing and other modes). If it is assumed that the effect of deuteriation on ground- and excited-state vibrational modes is approximately the same then only minor changes in emission energy (within the experimental error) are expected and, indeed, observed. The rate of vibrational relaxation of excited states is related to the ability of the solvent to dissipate the excess vibrational energy. Deuteriation of the ligands would be expected to perturb this process. However, in most solvents and especially in hydrogen-bonding solvents, deuteriation should not substantially affect the rate of vibrational cooling, and thus no significant increase in emission lifetime on that account should be observed.15

In ruthenium complexes the geometric distortion between the ¹MLCT and the ³MLCT states is small (S < 1),¹⁶ and the interaction between the states is best described in the weak-coupling limit, in which, nonradiative transitions such as ISC rely on high-energy vibrational modes such as O-H and C-H. Hence, for weakly coupled systems (i.e., nested states) the theory predicts a significant deuteriation effect on the rate of IC/ISC, as is observed here. For example, for the complex [Pt $(\mu$ -P₂O₅H₂)₄],⁴⁻ a nonradiative pathway ascribed to weak coupling between ³A_{2u} and ¹A_{2u} states with high-energy vibrational acceptor modes of the solvent has been

Dublin City University

[‡] Queen's University Belfast. [§] Rutherford Appelton Laboratory.

reported.¹⁷ For this system deuteriation was shown to reduce the ISC rate and thereby enhance the fluorescence lifetime.

The marked influence of deuteriation on the decay rate of the 520-nm emission from 1a suggests that this emission may be assigned, tentatively, as ¹MLCT in origin rather than being from vibrationally hot triplet states. It is important to note in this respect that Yersin and co-workers in an earlier publication reported a shoulder on the triplet emission, which they attributed as a singlet emission.¹⁸ The emission data reported in this contribution support that observation.

Preliminary investigations of 1a and 1b by picosecond timeresolved resonance Raman (ps-TR³) spectroscopy show that for 1a the grow-in of the ³MLCT state, indicated by the prominent 1506cm⁻¹ feature in Figure S2, occurs within the cross-correlation time of the laser pulse.¹⁹ For **1b** the grow-in of the ³MLCT state (1472 cm⁻¹ in Figure S3) occurs over 10-20 ps.^{19,20} In addition however, there is evidence of decay on the same 10-20 ps time scale of a distinct feature (marked by an asterisk in Figure S3).²¹ Beyond 20 ps no further changes were observed. The measured decay of the **1b** ¹MLCT state derived from the TR³ data (10–20 ps) corresponds to the shorter component observed in the ps-trl studies. However the longer-lived component observed in the ps-trl studies ($\tau > 200$ ps) is not easily identified in the TR³ data.

The ps-TR³ spectroscopy of the present systems is currently being explored further to elucidate the nature of the processes observed and will be reported in a later contribution. It should be noted, however, that any model proposed to explain our observations must involve the possibility of a second electronic [singlet] state, which is strongly affected by deuteriation of the molecule. This state is not immediately observable in the TR³ data available, but given that the population of this state is likely to be less than 10% compared with the population of the ³MLCT state then it is unlikely to be observed. Furthermore, even if ISC to the ³MLCT excited state from the excited-state responsible for the 520-nm emission does occur, the low levels generated via this mechanism would not be observable in TR³ spectra.

The observations reported here may have important consequences for the design of supramolecular systems. Although, at this stage, a completely satisfactory picture describing the processes responsible is not available, some worthwhile points can be made. First, the effects reported here may have significant implications in regard to the engagement of excited states, other than the lowest ³MLCT manifold, in photochemical processes in interfacial supramolecular systems involving Ru polypyridyl complexes, such as injection of electrons in nanocrystalline TiO2. Second, it appears that deuteriation may influence the efficiency of ISC between excited states. The observation of emission from excited states above the lowest ³MLCT state confirms that the efficiency of ISC is less than unity, although possibly not appreciably less. Since our findings suggest that energy transfer from the upper states (presumably singlet) to the lowest ³MLCT state may be controllable, new approaches to the design of supramolecular systems driven by photonics can be considered. We believe this interesting observation warrants reporting at this stage but recognize further work is required to fully understand the novel photophysics.

Acknowledgment. This work is supported by the EPSRC (GR/ M45696), including access to the laser facility at RAL. W.R.B. and J.G.V. thank Enterprise Ireland for financial support.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental methods and preparations, luminescence decay curve for 1b (S1), and time-resolved excited-state resonance Raman spectra of 1a (S2) and 1b (S3) (PDF). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http:// pubs.acs.org

References

- (1) (a) Kalyanasundaram, K. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1982, 46, 159 (b) Lehn, J. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1988, 27, 89. (c) Balzani, V.; Campagna, S.; Denti, G.; Juris, A.; Ventura, M. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1994, 132, 1. (d) Balzani, V.; Juris, A.; Ventura, M. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1994, 132, 1. (d) Balzani, V.; Juris, A.; Venturi, M.; Campagna, S.; Serroni, S. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 759. (e) Balzani, V.; Juris, A.; Venturi, M.; Campagna, S.; Serroni, S. Acc. Chem. Res. 1998, 31, 26. (f) Sauvage, J.-P.; Collins, J.-P.; Colli P.; Chambron, J.-C.; Guillerez, S.; Coudret, C.; Balzani, V.; Barigelletti, F.; De Cola, L.; Fannigni, L. Chem. Rev. 1994, 94, 993.
- (a) Balzani, V., Ed. Supramolecular Photochemistry; Reidel: Dordrecht, 1997. (b) Lehn, J.-M. Supramolecular Chemistry; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 1995.
- (3) Paris, J. P.; Brandt, W. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1959, 81, 5001.
 (4) (a) Hu, Y.-Z.; Tsukiji, S.; Shinkai, S.; Oishi, S.; Hamachi, I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 241. (b) Juris, A.; Balzani, V.; Barigelletti, F.; Campagna, S.; Belser, P.; von Zelewsky, A. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1988, 84, 85. (c) Meyer, T. J. Acc. Chem. Res. 1989, 22, 163. (d) O'Regan, B.; Graetzel, New York, Coord, Chem. Rev. 1989, 163. (d) O'Regan, B.; Graetzel, New York, Coord, Chem. Rev. 1989, 163. (d) O'Regan, B.; Graetzel, New York, Coord, Chem. Rev. 1989, 163. (d) O'Regan, B.; Graetzel, New York, Coord, Chem. Rev. 1989, 163. (d) O'Regan, B.; Graetzel, New York, Coord, Chem. Rev. 1989, 163. (d) O'Regan, B.; Graetzel, New York, Coord, Chem. Rev. 1989, 163. (d) O'Regan, B.; Graetzel, New York, Coord, Chem. Rev. 1989, 163. (d) O'Regan, B.; Graetzel, New York, Coord, Chem. Rev. 1989, 163. (d) O'Regan, B.; Graetzel, New York, Coord, Chem. Rev. 1989, 163. (d) O'Regan, B.; Graetzel, New York, Coord, Chem. Rev. 1989, 163. (d) O'Regan, B.; Graetzel, New York, Coord, Chem. Rev. 1989, 163. (d) O'Regan, B.; Graetzel, New York, Coord, Chem. Rev. 1989, 163. (d) O'Regan, B.; Graetzel, New York, Coord, Chem. Rev. 1989, 163. (d) O'Regan, B.; Graetzel, New York, Coord, Chem. Rev. 1989, 163. (d) O'Regan, B.; Graetzel, New York, Coord, Chem. Rev. 1989, 163. (d) O'Regan, B.; Graetzel, New York, Coord, Chem. Rev. 1989, 163. (d) O'Regan, B.; Graetzel, New York, Coord, Chem. Rev. 1989, 163. (d) O'Regan, B.; Graetzel, New York, Coord, Chem. Rev. 1989, 163. (d) O'Regan, B.; Graetzel, New York, Coord, Chem. Rev. 1989, 163. (d) O'Regan, B.; Graetzel, New York, Coord, Chem. Rev. 1989, 163. (d) O'Regan, B.; Graetzel, New York, Coord, Chem. Rev. 1989, 163. (d) O'Regan, B.; Graetzel, New York, Coord, Chem. Rev. 1989, 163. (d) O'Regan, B.; Graetzel, New York, Coord, Chem. Rev. 1989, 163. (d) O'Regan, B.; Graetzel, New York, Coord, Chem. Rev. 1989, 163. (d) O'Regan, B.; Graetzel, New York, Coord, Chem. Rev. 1989, 163. (d) O'Regan, B.; Graetzel, New York, Coor M. Nature 1991, 335, 737. (e) De Cola, L.; Belser, P. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1998, 177, 301. (f) Bignozzi, C. A.; Schoonover, J. R.; Scandola, F. Prog. Inorg. Chem. 1997, 44, 1. (g) Blanco, M.-J.; Jiménez, M. C.; Chambron, J.-C.; Heitz, V.; Linke, M.; Sauvage, J.-P. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1999, 28, 293
- (5) (a) Martini, I.; Hodak, J. H.; Hartland, G. V. J. Phys. Chem. B 1998, 102, 9508. (b) Burfeindt, B.; Hannappel, T.; Storck, W.; Willig, F. J. Phys. Chem. 1996, 100, 16463.
- (6) (a) Hilgendorff, M.; Sundström, V. J. Phys. Chem. B 1998, 102, 10505. (b) Ellingson, R. J.; Asbury, J. B.; Ferrere, S.; Ghosh, H. N.; Sprague, J. R.; Lian, T.; Nozik, A. J. J. Phys. Chem. B 1998, 102, 6455. (c) Hannappel, K., Lian, T., NOZIK, A. J. J. Phys. Chem. B 1996, 102, 0425. (c) Praimaplet,
 T.; Burfeindt, B.; Storck, W.; Willig, F. J. Phys. Chem. B 1997, 101,
 6799. (d) Fessenden, R. W.; Kamat, P. V. J. Phys. Chem. 1995, 99, 12902.
 (e) Asbury, J. B.; Ellingson, R. J.; Ghosh, H. N.; Ferrere, S.; Nozik, A.
 J.; Lian, T. J. Phys. Chem. B 1999, 103, 3110. (f) Tachibana, Y.; Haque, S. A.; Mercer, I. P.; Durrant, J. R.; Klug, D. R. J. Phys. Chem. B 2000, 104, 1198.
- (7) (a) Towrie, M.; Parker, A. W.; Shaikh, W.; Matousek, P. Meas. Sci. *Technol.* **1998**, *9*, 816. (b) Matousek, P.; Towrie, M.; Ma, C.; Kwok, W. M.; Phillips, D.; Toner, W. T.; Parker, A. W. J. Raman Spectrosc. **2001**, 32, 983. (c) See Supporting Information for further details.
 (8) (a) Yeh, A. T.; Shank, C. V.; McCusker, J. K. Science 2000, 289, 935.
- (b) Damaer, N. H.; Cerullo, G.; Yeh, A.; Bousser, T. R.; Shank, C. V.; McCusker, J. K. *Science* **1997**, *275*, 54. (c) Monat, J. E.; McCusker, J. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 4092. (d) Damrauer, N. H.; McCusker, J. K. J. Phys. Chem. A 1999, 103, 8440. (e) Damrauer, N. H.; Boussie, T. R.; Devenney, M.; McCusker, J. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 8253. (f) Damrauer, N. H.; Weldon, B. T.; McCusker, J. K. J. Phys. Chem. A 1998, 102, 3382.
- (9) Bhasikuttan, A. C.; Suzuki, M.; Nakashima, S.; Okada, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 8398.
- (10) The decay is less than the limit of resolution of the picosecond systems.
- (11) (a) Hutchinson, C. A., Jr.; Magnum, B. W. J. Chem. Phys. 1960, 32, 1261. (b) Wright, M. R.; Frosch, R. P.; Robinson, G. W. J. Chem. Phys. 1960, 33, 934.
- (12) (a) Robinson, G. W.; Frosch, R. P. J. Chem. Phys. 1962, 37, 1962. (b) Robinson, G. W.; Frosch, R. P. J. Chem. Phys. 1963, 38, 1187. (c) Siebrand, W. J. Chem. Phys. 1967, 46, 440. (d) Siebrand, W. J. Chem. Phys. 1966, 44, 4055.
- (13) Gelbart, W. M.; Freed, K. F.; Rice, S. A. J. Chem. Phys. 1970, 52, 2460.
- (14) (a) Jortner, J.; Rice, S. A.; Hochstrasser, R. M. Adv. Photochem. 1969, 7, 149.
 (b) Freed, K. F.; Jortner, J. J. Chem. Phys. 1970, 52, 6272. (c) Englman, R.; Jortner, J. Mol. Phys. 1970, 18, 145.
- (15) Horng, M. L.; Gardecki, J. A.; Papazyan, A.; Marconelli, M. J. Phys. Chem. 1995, 99, 17311.
- (16) The Huang Rhys factor (S) = $\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i}\Delta_{i}^{2}$ or $\frac{1}{2}$ the sum of the square of the fractional displacement of the ground and excited states for the deactivating mode/s.
- (17) Milder, S. J.; Brunschwig, B. S. J. Phys. Chem. 1992, 96, 2189
- (18) (a) Yersin, H.; Gallhuber, E.; Vogler, A.; Kunkely, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 4155. (b) Yersin, H.; Gallhuber, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 6582.
- (19) The 1506 cm⁻¹ band, a skeletal mode in the excited-state rR of [Ru([H₈] p_{3}^{2} (S2) is the most intense band observed at the 470 nm probe λ used {and appears at 1472 cm⁻¹ in the spectrum of $[Ru([D_8]-bpy)_3]^{2+1}$ (S3)}, see ref 20.
- (20) Strommen, D. P.; Mallick, P. K.; Danzer, G. D.; Lumpkin, R. S.; Kincaid, J. R. J. Phys. Chem. 1990, 94, 1357.
- (21) These time scales are commensurate with early vibrational relaxation processes of nascent excited states possessing energies in excess of the ΔE_{0-0} transition to the excited singlet state. The grow-in of a triplet state must be equal to the decay of the precursor state.

JA0289346